In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh.

Civil Revision No.5134 of 2007

Date of Decision: 5.10.2007.

Gurbax Singh.

…Petitioner.

Versus

Pushpa and others.

…Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr.A.P.S.Guliani,Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

Though the present petition has been filed by the petitioner/landlord challenging the order passed by the learned Rent Controller, whereby leave to defend has been granted to the respondents/tenants. However, it is submitted that the petitioner had filed the petition for eviction of the respondents under Section 13A of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 on the ground of personal necessity, in which leave to defend has been granted to the respondents/tenants. He further submitted that the petitioner had retired from service on April 30, 2005 and even the application for leave to defend remained under consideration before the learned Rent Controller for a period of more than two years. In these circumstances, the prayer made is that the learned Rent Controller be directed to decide the eviction petition expeditiously. He referred to earlier orders passed by this Court in **A.P.Sharma v. Ajay Kumar 1995(1) Rent Control Reporter 95** and **Shiv Gian Singh v. Shri Ramesh Birch and**

others 1991(1) All India Rent Control Journal 493.

The prayer made by the petitioner is innocuous. Accordingly, I do not deem it appropriate to issue notices to the respondents/tenants. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case as mentioned above, the learned Rent Controller is directed to decide the petition filed by the petitioner-landlord within a period of six months from the date already fixed in the petition.

The revision petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

October 5 2007
"DK"